

PATENT APPLICATION  
Attorney Docket No. SJO920020114US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

David F. HEPNER et al.

Serial No: 10/643,253

Filed: August 19, 2003

For: PREDICTIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS AND  
FAILURE ISOLATION USING CURRENT  
SENSING

Examiner: LE, John H.

Art Unit: 2863

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 24 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SUBMISSION BY FACSIMILE

PTO FAX NUMBER: 571-273-8300  
TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: 11

Dear Sir:


I hereby certify that the following documents are being  
transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown  
below:

1. SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT (8 pages);
2. INTERVIEW SUMMARY (2 pages); and
3. this CERTIFICATE OF SUBMISSION BY FACSIMILE (1 page).

If you did not receive all the pages, please telephone us at 718-  
544-1110, or fax us at 718-544-8588.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 24, 2005

  
Ida Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924  
69-60 108th Street, Suite 503  
Forest Hills, NY 11375  
Telephone (718) 544-1110  
Facsimile (718) 544-8588

PATENT APPLICATION  
Attorney Docket No. SJO920020114US1

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

David F. HEPNER et al.

Serial No: 10/643,253

Filed: August 19, 2003

For: PREDICTIVE FAILURE ANALYSIS AND  
FAILURE ISOLATION USING CURRENT  
SENSING

Examiner: LE, John H.

Art Unit: 2863

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 24 2005

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Interview Summary is made in response to the telephonic interview on October 21, 2005, between Examiner John H. Le and Ido Tuchman.


The interview focused on claims 1, 4, 9, 16, 20 and 23 of the pending application and the prior art discussed was U.S. Patent No. 6,167,525 issued to Donazzi et al. No exhibits or demonstrations were presented during the interview.

During the interview, the Examiner indicated claims 1 and 4, as amended by the Amendment filed October 14, 2005, were allowable. The Examiner also indicated that claims 9, 16 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including any intervening claims. Furthermore, claim 23 was indicated as allowable if amended to recite limitations similar to claim 4.

Ido Tuchman agreed to submit a supplemental amendment placing claims 9, 16 and 20 in independent form and amending claim 23 to recite limitations similar to claim 4.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 24, 2005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ido Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924  
Law Office of Ido Tuchman  
69-60 108th Street, Suite 503  
Forest Hills, NY 11375  
Telephone (718) 544-1110  
Facsimile (718) 544-8588